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## Rotating turbulence

$$
\partial_{t} \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+2 \Omega \mathbf{e}_{z} \times \mathbf{u}=-\nabla p+\nu \Delta \mathbf{u}
$$

- Two-dimensionalization
- Coherent structures
- Cyclone-anticyclone asymmetry



## Turbulent energy dissipation

- Key quantity in Kolmogorov 41 theory
- Central question of turbulence for the engineers.

3D turbulence

$$
\underset{\nu \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim} U^{3} / L \neq 0 \quad \text { dissipation }
$$

2D turbulence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \sim \nu U^{2} / L^{2} & \rightarrow 0 \\
\nu & \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$



Rotating turbulence is intermediate between 2D and 3D: scaling for $\epsilon$ when $\Omega$ is large?

## Taylor-Proudman theorem

Vorticity equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \ddot{\omega}-2 \Omega \partial_{z} \mathbf{u} & =\nabla \times(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \ddot{\mathbf{u}})+\nu \Delta \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \\
& \text { For slow, large-scale motion } \\
& \text { and large } \Omega, \mathbf{u} \text { becomes } \\
& \text { independent of } z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Two-dimensional three-component flow (2D3C)

Turbulent flows contain small-scale rapidly-evolving eddies: does Taylor-Proudman apply?

## Wave turbulence

Linearized inviscid equation for large $\Omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \because \nabla) \dddot{\mathbf{u}}+2 \Omega \mathbf{e}_{z} \times \mathbf{u}=-\nabla p \\
& \sigma= \pm 2 \Omega \frac{k_{z}}{k} \quad \text { Inertial waves }
\end{aligned}
$$



Weakly nonlinear regime: 3-wave interaction


Dimensional analysis: $\epsilon \sim \frac{U^{4}}{L^{2} \Omega}$
[Iroshnikov, Kraichnan, Zhou]
Derived more rigorously in the framework of wave turbulence [Galtier, Cambon et al., etc.].

## Incompatible theories?

Taylor-Proudman states that the flow becomes 2D, but considers only «slow enough » motion.

Wave-turbulence discards such 2D motion:

- 2D motion is not wave-like: no separation of time scales.
- Vanishing coupling coefficient between the 2D modes and two inertial waves.
$\Rightarrow$ The 2D modes remains zero through 3-wave interactions (if it is zero initially).

However, at the next order in the expansion, 4-wave resonances and quasi-resonant triads transfer energy to the 2D modes.

## Outline

| 2D versus 3D flow structures in experimental rotating turbulence

Signature of inertial waves?

II Exact two-dimensionalization of rapidly rotating flows
No dissipation anomaly at low Ro.

III Direct measurements of the dissipated power in rotating turbulence

Influence of the forcing geometry.

## Forced rotating turbulence



Turbulence in a rotating frame :
An arena of vortex dipole generators on the Gyroflow rotating platform

Laboratory FAST, University Paris Sud and CNRS

in collaboration with Laboratory LadHyx, Ecole Polytechnique


## Predominance of cyclones

## Vertical PIV vorticity, top view.

[A. Campagne, B. Gallet, P.-P. Cortet, F. Moisy, Phys. Fluids, 2014]



## Two-dimensionalization

## PIV in a vertical plane



Only a few percent of the kinetic energy contained in z-dependent structures (inside the PIV field).


## Inertial waves?

Spatio-temporal analysis: 2-point correlation of the temporal Fourier transform.
[A. Campagne, B. Gallet, F. Moisy, P.-P. Cortet, PRE, 2015]




Small-scale waves are strongly swept by the intense 2D flow.

## Conclusions of part 1

- Global rotation induces strong two-dimensionalization and cyclone-anticyclone asymmetry.
- Large-scale 3D structures follow the inertial-wave dispersion relation.
- Small-scale 3D structures undergo intense sweeping by the 2D flow.
$\Rightarrow$ Very small fraction of the kinetic energy on the inertial-wave dispersion relation (a prerequisite for wave turbulence theories).


## Part II:

## Exact two-dimensionalization

 of rapidly rotating flows.
## What happens at even lower Ro?

- How far does two-dimensionalization proceed? Are there still inertial waves?
- Does cyclone-anticyclone asymmetry remain at small Ro?
- Is there a dissipation anomaly?


## Theoretical setup

- z-invariant forcing
- Stress-free top and bottom boundaries, or 3D periodic domain.
- Solutions to 2D NavierStokes: are they stable to 3D perturbations?


$$
R e=\frac{U \ell}{\nu} \quad R o=\frac{U}{\ell \Omega}
$$

The challenge is to derive stability criteria for a 2D turbulent base flow.

## Linear two-dimensionalization

Linear stability of the solution V of 2D Navier-Stokes:
Proof of the existence of a critical Rossby number $R o_{c}(R e)$ under which the 2D flow is stable to 3D perturbations.

Lower-bound $R o_{<}(R e)$ on $R o_{c}(R e)$ :

$$
R o_{<}=c R^{-6} \ln ^{-2}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L}{\ell}\right) \frac{\ell^{10}}{L^{6} H^{3}(H+\ell)}
$$

For any Re and strong enough global rotation, the flow becomes exactly 2D in the long-time limit.

## Sketch of the proof

- Linearize the rotating Navier-Stokes equation about the 2D turbulent base-flow $V(x, y, t)$.

$$
\partial_{t} \mathbf{v}+(\mathbf{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \mathbf{v}+(\mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \mathbf{V}+2 \Omega \mathbf{e}_{z} \times \mathbf{v}=-\boldsymbol{\nabla} p^{\prime}+\nu \Delta \mathbf{v}
$$

- Write the evolution equation for the energy in the 3D perturbation $v(x, y, z, t)$

$$
\mathrm{d}_{t}\left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^{2}}{2} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{x}\right)=-\int_{\substack{\mathcal{D}}} \mathbf{v} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}-\nu \int_{\mathcal{D}}|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathbf{v}|^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathbf{x}
$$

- Greenspan, Waleffe: the energy transfers between the waves and the 2D modes are very weak for large $\Omega$.
$\Rightarrow$ I prove that they decrease as $1 / \Omega$.
- For large enough $\Omega$, they cannot overcome viscous dissipation. $\rightarrow$ The 3D perturbation decays.
[B. Gallet, JFM, 2015]


## Physical consequences

I can extend this result to perturbations of arbitrary amplitude: above a threshold value of the rotation rate, the flow becomes 2D regardless of the initial condition.

- The flow becomes exactly 2D, with no inertial waves at all.
- $\Omega$ is absent from the 2D Navier-Stokes equation, so cyclone-anticyclone asymmetry disappears.
- No dissipation anomaly for such 2D flows.
[B. Gallet, JFM, 2015]


## Part III: <br> Turbulent drag in a rotating frame

## Motivations

- Study the influence of global rotation on the dissipated power in a simple experiment.
- Direct measurement of the dissipated power.
- Study its behavior in the regime of moderately low Ro and large Re.
- Study the influence of the forcing geometry (not necessarily invariant along $z$ !).


## Drag in a rotating frame

- Motor in the rotating frame: propeller rotating at $\omega$ with respect to the platform.

$$
R e=\frac{R^{2} \omega}{\nu} \quad R o=\frac{\omega}{\Omega}
$$

- Measurement of the timeaveraged torque $\Gamma$.

$$
K=\frac{\Gamma}{\rho R^{4} h \omega^{2}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { drag } \\
& \text { coefficient }
\end{aligned}
$$

- PIV measurements.


## Turbulent drag

High-Re data collapse onto two branches:


Strong decrease of K for rapid rotation, approx. $K \sim R o$

## 2 possible explanations

Explanation 1: the energy dissipation rate decreases because of inertial-wave dynamics. Following wave turbulence type of arguments:

$$
\epsilon \sim R o \frac{U^{3}}{L} \quad K \sim R o
$$

Explanation 2: forcing compatible with Taylor-Proudman. Because of two-dimensionalization, the rapidly rotating flow ressembles solid-body rotation, with weak 3D poloidal recirculation.

Dissipation is due to the
weak 3D recirculation only.

## PIV measurements

- No signature of inertial waves could be identified.
- Mean flow dominated by toroidal solid-body rotation
- Turbulent poloidal velocity fluctuations decrease with increasing $\Omega$.
supports the twodimensionalization scenario.



## PIV measurements

Dissipation due to the poloidal recirculation evaluated using the 3D non-rotating estimate $\epsilon \sim u_{p}^{\prime 3} / h$

$\epsilon$ is not modified by some inertial-wave dynamics.
$\Rightarrow$ supports the two-dimensionalization scenario.

## Horizontal axis

A forcing configuration that is incompatible with TaylorProudman



The decrease in K takes place only when the forcing is compatible with TP, and allows for two-dimensionalization.

## Conclusions of part III

A strong decrease of the drag coefficient for rapid global rotation
[A. Campagne, N. Machicoane, B. Gallet, P.-P. Cortet, F. Moisy, JFM, 2016]

- Due to the two-dimensionalization of the velocity field, and not to IW dynamics.
- Takes place only when the forcing is compatible with TaylorProudman.
- Dissipation well-estimated by the non-rotating estimate $u^{3 /} / L$ using the turbulent 3D recirculation.


K strongly decreases with $\Omega$ for motion $\perp$ to $\Omega$.


K is weakly affected by $\Omega$ for motion $\|$ to $\Omega$.

## General conclusion

Structure of high-Re low-Ro flows:

z-invariant 2D flow

- laminar dissipation rate

$$
\epsilon_{2 D} \sim \nu \frac{U_{2 D}^{2}}{L^{2}}
$$



3D fluctuations

- strongly swept by the 2D flow: not described by the IR dispersion relation.
- turbulent dissipation $\epsilon_{3 D} \sim \frac{U_{3 D}^{3}}{L}$ (at intermediate Ro, may be lower for Ro<<1).


## General conclusion

Case 1: forcing compatible with Taylor-Proudman:


- $U_{3 D}$ strongly decreases for decreasing Ro.
$\Rightarrow \epsilon=\epsilon_{2 D}+\epsilon_{3 D}$ decreases.
- Theoretically, exact two-dimensionalization for $R o<R o_{c}(R e)$
$\Rightarrow$ laminar dissipation $\epsilon=\epsilon_{2 D} \sim \nu U_{2 D}^{2} / L^{2}$

Case 2: forcing incompatible with Taylor-Proudman: Imposed $U_{3 D} \Rightarrow \epsilon \simeq \epsilon_{3 D} \sim U_{3 D}^{3} / L$

